

## JOINT DEVELOPMENT CONTROL COMMITTEE MEETING – 17 August 2022

### Amendment Sheet

ITEM: 3 APPLICATION REF: 21/04036/REM

Location: Lots S1 and S2 North West Cambridge Development, Eddington Avenue, Cambridge, CB3 0LH

#### To Note:

Following publication of the agenda, a further representation was received from Girton Parish Council Chair (and former District Councillor) Douglas de Lacey as follows:

“I'd like to request a condition that there should be indications on the streets at the boundary between City and Girton, as Girton residents are eligible for many benefits, for instance thanks to our Town Charity there are book grants for people entering tertiary education, grants to help people leaving a hospital stay, and many others. And all those living within the Parish qualify. And I believe City residents get some benefits not available to Girton.

I think it would be appropriate therefore that at every street that crosses the boundary there should be indications showing where the City and District areas begin and end.”

Lots S1 and S2 are wholly within South Cambridgeshire District/ Girton Parish and as such officers do not propose an additional condition/informative to that effect.

Amendments To Text: None.

Pre-Committee Amendments to Recommendation: None

DECISION:

ITEM: 4 APPLICATION REF: 22/02591/FUL

Location: RSC 40 and Land South of Robinson Way, Addenbrookes Hospital

To Note:

Alteration to Para 16.4 –

The proposals include barriers as a control measure, these are included in the existing site, but are being relocated in conjunction with the adjustments to the vehicular access to the site. The barrier is a measure to maintain control over the parking on-site and the integrity of the wider parking strategy.

Amendments To Text: None.

Pre-Committee Amendments to Recommendation: None

**DECISION:**

---

ITEM: 5 APPLICATION REF: 22/01966/S73 and 22/01967/S73

Location: Land North of Cherry Hinton, Coldhams Lane, Cambridge

To Note: Page 131 of the officer report (paragraph 10.10) refers to the need for a Deed of Variation to the original Section 106 agreement.

Officers are now satisfied following legal advice that such a deed is not required. This explains why there is no reference to a Deed of Variation in the officer recommendation.

Amendments To Text: None.

Pre-Committee Amendments to Recommendation: None

**DECISION:**

---

ITEM: 6 APPLICATION REF: 16/0481/COND9 and S/1281/18/COND9

Location: Land North of Cherry Hinton, Coldhams Lane, Cambridge

To Note: -

Amendments To Text: None.

Pre-Committee Amendments to Recommendation: **Amendments to recommendation in bold below:**

21. Recommendation

Approve the discharge of condition application reference S/1231/18/COND9 subject to:

- (i) Amendment of the description of the proposal as follows: 'Submission of details required by condition 9 (Site Wide Design Code) of outline permission S/1231/18/OL as varied by planning permission **22/01967/S73** and
- (ii) The issue of the planning decision for S/1231/18/COND9 at such time after the planning approval for application **22/01967/S73** has been issued.

Approve the discharge of condition application reference 18/0481/OUT subject to:

- (I) Amendment of the description of the proposal as follows: 'Submission of details required by condition 9 (Site Wide Design Code of outline permission 18/0481/OUT as varied by planning permission 22/1966/S73' and

(II) The issue of the planning decision for **18/0481/COND9** at such time after the planning approval for application 22/1966/S73 has been issued.

**DECISION:**